



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/058,495	01/28/2002	Richard King	265280-68002	2189
23643	7590	12/18/2008	EXAMINER	
BARNES & THORNBURG LLP			RAMANA, ANURADHA	
11 SOUTH MERIDIAN				
INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

indocket@btlaw.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/058,495	KING ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Anu Ramana	3775	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kyle W. Kimble. (3) Richard B. Lazarus.

(2) Richard P. Krinsky. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 December 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 49 and 125.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rejections of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's Representatives discussed proposed amendments that appear to overcome the rejections of record. Applicant's Representative further discussed cross-linked and non-crosslinked layers of Applicant's invention. The Examiner agreed to reconsider the rejections of record when the proposed amendments are submitted in a formal response to the outstanding office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Anu Ramana/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3775

December 14, 2008